

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/874,174	06/04/2001	Jiro Kajiwara	A-70092/RMA	7640
7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/874,174	KAJIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eileen P Morgan	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 June 2001</i> .					
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	e withdrawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(so by the Examiner. Note the attached					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Aport of the priority documents have been to be all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 depends on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,5,7-12, 32,33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 10-217108.

Japan '108 discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising an annular groove disposed in the bottom of the

Art Unit: 3723

retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental.

Claims 1-3,5,7-13, 32,33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 11-333712.

Japan '712 discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising concentric annular grooves disposed in the bottom of the retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental.

Claims 1-3,5,7-12,14, 32,33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glashauser-6,419,567.

Glashauser discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising an annular groove disposed in the bottom of the retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5,7-12, 15-20,29-33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '108, alone.

Art Unit: 3723

Japan '108 discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising an annular groove disposed in the bottom of the retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental and would have been a matter of obvious design choice. The selection of the material of the retaining ring would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on machining parameters.

Claims 1-3,5,7-13, 15-20,25,26, 29-33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '712,alone.

Japan '712 discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising concentric annular grooves disposed in the bottom of the retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental and would have been a matter of obvious design choice. The selection of the material of the retaining ring would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on machining parameters.

Claim1-3,5,7-12,14-20,27-33,41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glashauser '567, alone.

Glashauser discloses a retaining ring of a subcarrier for holding a wafer during polishing comprising an annular groove disposed in the bottom of the retaining ring. The distance of the groove from the edge, and the depth and width based on magnitude of a force is incidental and would have been a matter of obvious design choice. The selection of the material of the retaining ring

Art Unit: 3723

would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on machining parameters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P Morgan whose telephone number is 703.308.1743.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703.308.2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EM

August 6, 2004

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER